Continuing, Exclusive Jurisdiction (CEJ) under UIFSA §205

Did the tribunal (court or administrative agency) issue a spousal support or child support order that was **VALID** under the law of the issuing state when it was entered ***and*** that is still valid and in effect (i.e., has not been modified or superseded under URESA, UIFSA, etc.)?

NO⇨ Issuing tribunal does ***not*** have CEJ. G.S. 52C-1-101(21), 52C-2-205(e).

YES
⇩

Does the order provide only for **SPOUSAL SUPPORT**?

YES⇨ Issuing tribunal has **CEJ** with respect to *spousal support* throughout the existence of the spousal support obligation and regardless of the current residence of the parties. G.S. 52C-2-205(f).

NO
⇩

Does the obligor, the individual obligee, *or* the child still **RESIDE** in the issuing state?

NO⇨ Issuing tribunal does ***not*** have CEJ with respect to child support. G.S. 52C-2-205(a)(1).

YES
⇩

Has the order been **MODIFIED** by a tribunal of another state in a manner consistent with UIFSA and FFCCSOA?

YES⇨ Issuing tribunal does ***not*** have CEJ with respect to child support. G.S. 52C-2-205(b).

NO
⇩

Has each individual party filed **WRITTEN CONSENT** with issuing tribunal for another state to assume CEJ?

YES⇨ Issuing tribunal does ***not*** have CEJ with respect to child support. G.S. 52C-2-205(a)(2).

NO
⇩

Issuing tribunal has **CEJ**. G.S. 52C-2-205.